EDWARD T. KANG Direct Dial: (215) 525-5852 ekang@KHFlaw.com

March 24, 2017

Via ECF

Hon. Gary L. Sharpe James T. Foley U.S. Courthouse 445 Broadway, First Floor South Albany, NY 12207

Re: SEC v. McGinn Smith & Co., Inc. et al., U.S.D.C. for the Northern District of New York, No.: 1:10-CV-457-GLS-CFH

Dear Judge Sharpe:

This firm represents fifty-five investors (the "Moving Investors") who are claimants in the above-captioned receivership action, and are also plaintiffs in the matter of *Cupersmith et al. v. Piaker & Lyons, et al.*, Case No. 3:14-cv-01303-TJM-DEP (the "Piaker Action"). On March 3, 2017 the Moving Investors filed a motion in this Court for an order to enforce compliance by the Receiver with the Court-approved plan of distribution (the "Motion") (Doc. No. 911). The Receiver filed a response in opposition to the Motion on March 20th (Doc. No. 915).

The Moving Investors now seek leave pursuant to Local Rule No. 7.2(b)(2) to file a short reply brief to address several points raised by the Receiver in his response to the Motion. May this correspondence serve as a letter motion for leave to file the Moving Investors' proposed reply brief, a copy of which is attached to this letter motion as Exhibit "A."

As always, the parties greatly appreciate the Court's time and courtesies.

Edward T. Kang
ETK:DPD:mail

c.c. All counsel of record (via ECF)